


Manthan

Luggage

How will VIP IN turn profitable?

Plagued by intense competition, excessive discounting and inventory write down, VIP IN has been seeding losses since the past two years. Given stiff competition, we believe GM is unlikely to circle back to the 50% mark. Thus, VIP IN's path to profitability revolves around fixing inefficiencies in the cost structure. In today's edition, we analyze the opex bill of VIP IN. We have specifically highlighted cost heads that have witnessed inflation in the past and then shared our view on possibility of mean reversion.

We specifically discuss select cost heads that are either critical in nature or offer scope of improvement.

Professional fee: The professional fee has averaged at 1.8% of sales over the last 3 years (0.5% of sales from FY19 to FY23) as VIP IN hired services of consulting firms (example: BCG Group) to fix supply chain issues. Given inventory optimization exercise is over, and new management has taken over, professional fee expense is set to decline going ahead.

A&P expense: Given stiff competition, A&P spends have averaged at 7.6% of sales in the last 3 years. Amid rising prevalence of D2C brands, we expect competitive environment to remain stiff and hence A&P spends will likely mimic the trend witnessed in last 3 years.

Freight & Octroi: Freight expense has averaged at 9.5% of sales over the last 3 years as volumes have increased. Luggage is a voluminous product and increasing volumes due to discounting is likely to result in higher freight cost. VIP IN has manufacturing units located at Nashik and Bangladesh. Thus, it can cover Western and Eastern belt (not fully optimal though) of India in a cost-effective manner. However, we believe freight cost to Northern and Southern regions could be higher.

Human resource procurement: Human resource procurement cost has averaged at 4.5% of sales over the last 3 years. As growth is driven by volumes, requirement of outsourced labour will continue to remain high leaving limited scope for sharp improvement.

Miscellaneous expense: Over the last 3 years, VIP IN's miscellaneous expense has averaged at 2.4% of sales. VIP IN does not classify the exact nature of these expenses but given change of guard at top-level (due diligence cost) and litigation issue (legal fees) pertaining to Carlton brand, miscellaneous expenses could have been high over the last 3 years. We believe there is a significant scope of reduction in this cost head.

Job work expense: Given growth is volume driven, outsourcing requirement would continue to remain high. Over the last 3 years, job work expense has averaged at 2.0% of sales, and we do not foresee a scope of sharp improvement.

Exhibit 1: Breakdown of expenses

Particulars (INR mn)	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	Critical cost head?	Is there a scope of reduction?
Consumption of stores and spare parts	23	83	95	110	35	73	No	Limited scope. Even if cost gets optimized a bit, savings could be minimal.
<i>As a % of sales</i>	0.4%	0.6%	0.5%	0.5%	0.2%	0.4%		
Power and fuel	76	143	185	219	185	201	No	Limited scope. Even if cost gets optimized a bit, savings could be minimal.
<i>As a % of sales</i>	1.2%	1.1%	0.9%	1.0%	0.8%	1.1%		
Rent	59	32	89	156	202	105	No	Limited scope. Even if cost gets optimized a bit, savings could be minimal.
<i>As a % of sales</i>	1.0%	0.2%	0.4%	0.7%	0.9%	0.6%		
Repairs and Maintenance- Buildings	6	2	3	2	13	4	No	Limited scope. Even if cost gets optimized a bit, savings could be minimal.
<i>As a % of sales</i>	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%		
Repairs and Maintenance- P&M	1	2	2	4	3	3	No	Limited scope. Even if cost gets optimized a bit, savings could be minimal.
<i>As a % of sales</i>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Repairs and Maintenance- Others	99	113	147	202	230	210	No	Limited scope. Even if cost gets optimized a bit, savings could be minimal.
<i>As a % of sales</i>	1.6%	0.9%	0.7%	0.9%	1.1%	1.1%		
Insurance	48	60	83	44	30	32	No	Limited scope. Even if cost gets optimized a bit, savings could be minimal.
<i>As a % of sales</i>	0.8%	0.5%	0.4%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%		
Rates and taxes	26	19	35	63	23	40	No	Limited scope. Even if cost gets optimized a bit, savings could be minimal.
<i>As a % of sales</i>	0.4%	0.1%	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%		
Travelling expense	41	84	188	222	200	199	No	Limited scope. Even if cost gets optimized a bit, savings could be minimal.
<i>As a % of sales</i>	0.7%	0.7%	0.9%	1.0%	0.9%	1.1%		
Director's fee	1	3	3	4	3	5	No	Limited scope. Even if cost gets optimized a bit, savings could be minimal.
<i>As a % of sales</i>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Payment to auditors	5	6	8	8	9	9	No	Limited scope. Even if cost gets optimized a bit, savings could be minimal.
<i>As a % of sales</i>	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%		
Expenditure towards CSR activities	35	16	9	14	25	12	No	Limited scope. Even if cost gets optimized a bit, savings could be minimal.
<i>As a % of sales</i>	0.6%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%		
Professional fee	48	56	89	380	387	340	No	Yes
<i>As a % of sales</i>	0.8%	0.4%	0.4%	1.7%	1.8%	1.8%		
Communication expense	20	21	30	31	34	34	No	Limited scope. Even if cost gets optimized a bit, savings could be minimal.
<i>As a % of sales</i>	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%		
Advertisement expense	208	355	1,125	1,848	1,383	1,531	Yes	No
<i>As a % of sales</i>	3.4%	2.8%	5.4%	8.2%	6.3%	8.2%		
Freight and Octroi	460	1,046	1,418	2,087	1,907	1,919	Yes	There is some scope of reduction
<i>As a % of sales</i>	7.4%	8.1%	6.8%	9.3%	8.8%	10.3%		
Commission on sales	2	2	49	1	(0)	0	No	Limited scope. Even if cost gets optimized a bit, savings could be minimal.
<i>As a % of sales</i>	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		

Particulars (INR mn)	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	Critical cost head?	Is there a scope of reduction?
Bank charges	10	17	29	40	57	37	No	Limited scope. Even if cost gets optimized a bit, savings could be minimal.
<i>As a % of sales</i>	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%		
Human resource procurement	285	421	630	826	984	1,006	Yes	Limited scope of sharp improvement
<i>As a % of sales</i>	4.6%	3.3%	3.0%	3.7%	4.5%	5.4%		
Allowance for doubtful debt	106	165	230	40	35	108	No	Limited scope. Even if cost gets optimized a bit, savings could be minimal.
<i>As a % of sales</i>	1.7%	1.3%	1.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.6%		
Bad debts	0	0	-	3	3	1	No	Limited scope. Even if cost gets optimized a bit, savings could be minimal.
<i>As a % of sales</i>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Provision for doubtful debt	(0)	(0)	-	(3)	(3)	(1)	No	Limited scope. Even if cost gets optimized a bit, savings could be minimal.
<i>As a % of sales</i>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Net loss on FX transactions	(2)	25	115	55	123	53	No	Limited scope. Even if cost gets optimized a bit, savings could be minimal.
<i>As a % of sales</i>	0.0%	0.2%	0.6%	0.2%	0.6%	0.3%		
Obsolescence of fixed assets	11	-	-	1	0	-	No	Limited scope. Even if cost gets optimized a bit, savings could be minimal.
<i>As a % of sales</i>	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Net loss on sale of fixed asset	-	6	4	-	-	-	No	Limited scope. Even if cost gets optimized a bit, savings could be minimal.
<i>As a % of sales</i>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Miscellaneous expense	122	179	242	404	512	559	No	Yes
<i>As a % of sales</i>	2.0%	1.4%	1.2%	1.8%	2.3%	3.0%		
Job work expense	85	234	300	374	422	422	Yes	Limited scope of sharp improvement
<i>As a % of sales</i>	1.4%	1.8%	1.4%	1.7%	1.9%	2.3%		
Electricity expense	24	24	34	45	62	62	No	Limited scope. Even if cost gets optimized a bit, savings could be minimal.
<i>As a % of sales</i>	0.4%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%		
Net loss on fair value changes on investments	1	-	2	-	-	-	No	Limited scope. Even if cost gets optimized a bit, savings could be minimal.
<i>As a % of sales</i>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Total expenses	1,801	3,114	5,141	7,180	6,862	6,963		
<i>As a % of sales</i>	29.1%	24.1%	24.7%	32.0%	31.5%	37.5%		

Source: Company, PL

In the following table, we have compared some of these critical cost heads of VIP IN and SII IN. We have also included employee cost and rent (depreciation on RoU asset and interest cost on lease liabilities used as proxy) for the purpose of our analysis to get a better idea of the cost structure of both entities.

Exhibit 2: VIP IN versus SII IN - Comparison of Sales, GM and critical cost heads

Particulars (Rs mn)	Company	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27E	FY28E
Sales	VIP IN	6,186	12,895	20,823	22,450	21,784	18,581	19,615	22,042
	SII IN	3,280	7,057	12,120	15,504	17,716	20,470	23,445	26,801
GM	VIP IN	40.8%	50.0%	51.1%	52.6%	45.6%	36.2%	42.5%	46.0%
	SII IN	41.9%	37.0%	42.5%	47.2%	45.7%	47.1%	46.2%	46.8%
A&P spends	VIP IN	3.4%	2.8%	5.4%	8.2%	6.3%	8.2%	8.0%	7.5%
	SII IN	2.8%	2.6%	3.7%	5.0%	7.4%	7.8%	8.1%	8.2%
Freight & Octroi	VIP IN	7.4%	8.1%	6.8%	9.3%	8.8%	10.3%	9.5%	8.0%
	SII IN	7.5%	6.1%	5.7%	6.7%	7.3%	7.4%	6.7%	6.5%
Human resource procurement	VIP IN	4.6%	3.3%	3.0%	3.7%	4.5%	5.4%	Explicit forecast not in place	
	SII IN	4.8%	4.1%	4.5%	5.6%	5.6%	5.7%	Explicit forecast not in place	
Job work expense	VIP IN	1.4%	1.8%	1.4%	1.7%	1.9%	2.3%	Explicit forecast not in place	
	SII IN	1.3%	1.7%	1.1%	1.7%	1.7%	2.0%	Explicit forecast not in place	
Employee cost	VIP IN	22.2%	14.6%	11.3%	12.0%	10.3%	11.7%	11.7%	11.3%
	SII IN	18.3%	9.6%	7.1%	6.3%	6.7%	7.0%	6.7%	6.2%
Depreciation on RoU assets (proxy for rent)	VIP IN	8.4%	3.6%	2.3%	2.8%	3.4%	4.3%	4.3%	4.1%
	SII IN	3.2%	1.7%	1.7%	2.1%	1.9%	1.6%	1.5%	1.4%
Interest expense on lease liability (proxy for rent)	VIP IN	2.6%	1.2%	0.7%	1.0%	1.2%	1.5%	1.4%	1.3%
	SII IN	0.8%	0.4%	0.3%	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%

Source: Company, PL

As can be seen, VIP IN's GM profile has deteriorated over the years due to stiff competition and discounting. However, A&P expenses of both companies have increased in response to stiff competition. SII IN's freight cost is lower than VIP IN as it has plants in Halol (Western belt) and Jaipur (Northern belt). Further, SII IN's employee cost structure is lean and even the rental expense is considerably lower than VIP IN.

What is the path to profitability for VIP IN?

While there will be a reduction in professional fee and miscellaneous expense going ahead, VIP IN will either have to improve GM profile or find ways to re-align the cost structure to drive profitability. Given intense competition, reducing A&P spends (discretionary cost and can be easily reduced) or improving the GM profile by taking a price hike appears challenging. Further, while there is a stark variation in employee cost between VIP IN and SII IN, the employee cost of VIP IN had declined 16.5% YoY to INR2,253mn in FY25 (retrenchment in Bangladesh) and 3.2% YoY to INR2,180mn in FY26. Thus, further cost re-alignment from current level looks difficult. Nonetheless, on the opex front, realignment possibility exists in rent and freight (to a certain extent). On the other hand, if VIP IN is able to increase the share of captive manufacturing, then GM profile can improve materially. We expect VIP IN to report sales CAGR of 9% over the next 2 years with GM of 42.5%/46.0% and adjusted loss of INR1,871mn/INR69mn in FY27E/FY28E respectively. We have a SELL on the stock with a TP of INR246 (EV multiple of 1.75x on FY28E sales).

Analyst Coverage Universe

Sr. No.	Company Name	Rating	TP (INR)	Share Price (INR)
1	Apeejay Surrendra Park Hotels	Buy	156	116
2	Chalet Hotels	BUY	991	805
3	Delhivery	HOLD	503	473
4	DOMS Industries	Buy	2703	2253
5	Flair Writing Industries	BUY	409	248
6	Imagicaaworld Entertainment	BUY	62	47
7	Indian Railway Catering and Tourism Corporation	BUY	706	507
8	InterGlobe Aviation	Hold	4520	5024
9	Lemon Tree Hotels	BUY	143	108
10	Mahindra Logistics	Buy	507	391
11	Nazara Technologies	BUY	378	353
12	PVR Inox	BUY	1307	1064
13	Safari Industries (India)	Buy	1953	1505
14	Samhi Hotels	BUY	201	174
15	TCI Express	HOLD	576	580
16	V.I.P. Industries	SELL	246	308
17	Zee Entertainment Enterprises	BUY	116	94

PL's Recommendation Nomenclature (Absolute Performance)

BUY	: > 15%
Accumulate	: 5% to 15%
Hold	: +5% to -5%
Reduce	: -5% to -15%
Sell	: < -15%
Not Rated (NR)	: No specific call on the stock
Under Review (UR)	: Rating likely to change shortly

ANALYST CERTIFICATION

Indian Clients

We/I Mr. Jinesh Joshi MS(Finance) and CFA, Ms. Stuti Beria MBA Finance, Mr. Dhvanit Shah CA Research Analysts, authors and the names subscribed to this report, hereby certify that all of the views expressed in this research report accurately reflect our views about the subject issuer(s) or securities. We also certify that no part of our compensation was, is, or will be directly or indirectly related to the specific recommendation(s) or view(s) in this report.

US Clients

The research analysts, with respect to each issuer and its securities covered by them in this research report, certify that: All of the views expressed in this research report accurately reflect his or her or their personal views about all of the issuers and their securities; and No part of his or her or their compensation was, is or will be directly related to the specific recommendation or views expressed in this research report.

Prabhudas Lilladher Pvt. Ltd.

Corporate Office: 6th Floor, Tower 2B South Annex, One World Centre, 841, Senapati Bapat Marg, Lower Parel, Mumbai - 400013

Registered Office: 3rd Floor, Sadhana House, 570, P. B. Marg, Worli, Mumbai-400 018

Tel: (91 22) 6632 2222 Fax: (91 22) 6632 2209

www.plindia.com

DISCLAIMER

Indian Clients

Prabhudas Lilladher Pvt. Ltd, Mumbai, India (hereinafter referred to as "PL") is engaged in the business of Stock Broking, Portfolio Manager, Depository Participant and distribution for third party financial products. PL is a subsidiary of Prabhudas Lilladher Advisory Services Pvt Ltd, which has its various subsidiaries engaged in business of commodity broking, investment banking, financial services (margin funding) and distribution of third party financial/other products, details in respect of which are available at www.plindia.com.

This document has been prepared by the Research Division of PL and is meant for use by the recipient only as information and is not for circulation. This document is not to be reported or copied or made available to others without prior permission of PL. It should not be considered or taken as an offer to sell or a solicitation to buy or sell any security.

The information contained in this report has been obtained from sources that are considered to be reliable. However, PL has not independently verified the accuracy or completeness of the same. Neither PL nor any of its affiliates, its directors or its employees accepts any responsibility of whatsoever nature for the information, statements and opinion given, made available or expressed herein or for any omission therein.

Recipients of this report should be aware that past performance is not necessarily a guide to future performance and value of investments can go down as well. The suitability or otherwise of any investments will depend upon the recipients particular circumstances and, in case of doubt, advice should be sought from an independent expert/advisor.

Either PL or its affiliates or its directors or its employees or its representatives or its clients or their relatives may have position(s), make market, act as principal or engage in transactions of securities of companies referred to in this report and they may have used the research material prior to publication.

PL may from time to time solicit or perform investment banking or other services for any company mentioned in this document.

PL is a registered with SEBI under the SEBI (Research Analysts) Regulation, 2014 and having registration number INH000000271.

PL submits that no material disciplinary action has been taken on us by any Regulatory Authority impacting Equity Research Analysis activities.

PL or its research analysts or its associates or his relatives do not have any financial interest in the subject company.

PL or its research analysts or its associates or his relatives do not have any material conflict of interest at the time of publication of the research report.

PL or its associates might have received compensation from the subject company in the past twelve months.

PL or its research analysts or its associates or his relatives do not have actual/beneficial ownership of one per cent or more securities of the subject company at the end of the month immediately preceding the date of publication of the research report.

PL or its associates might have managed or co-managed public offering of securities for the subject company in the past twelve months or mandated by the subject company for any other assignment in the past twelve months.

PL or its associates might have received any compensation for investment banking or merchant banking or brokerage services from the subject company in the past twelve months.

PL or its associates might have received any compensation for products or services other than investment banking or merchant banking or brokerage services from the subject company in the past twelve months

PL or its associates might have received any compensation or other benefits from the subject company or third party in connection with the research report.

PL encourages independence in research report preparation and strives to minimize conflict in preparation of research report.

PL or its analysts did not receive any compensation or other benefits from the subject Company or third party in connection with the preparation of the research report.

PL or its Research Analysts do not have any material conflict of interest at the time of publication of this report.

It is confirmed that Mr. Jinesh Joshi MS(Finance) and CFA, Ms. Stuti Beria MBA Finance, Mr. Dhvanit Shah CA Research Analysts of this report have not received any compensation from the companies mentioned in the report in the preceding twelve months. Compensation of our Research Analysts is not based on any specific merchant banking, investment banking or brokerage service transactions.

The Research analysts for this report certifies that all of the views expressed in this report accurately reflect his or her personal views about the subject company or companies and its or their securities, and no part of his or her compensation was, is or will be, directly or indirectly related to specific recommendations or views expressed in this report.

The research analysts for this report has not served as an officer, director or employee of the subject company PL or its research analysts have not engaged in market making activity for the subject company

Our sales people, traders, and other professionals or affiliates may provide oral or written market commentary or trading strategies to our clients that reflect opinions that are contrary to the opinions expressed herein, and our proprietary trading and investing businesses may make investment decisions that are inconsistent with the recommendations expressed herein. In reviewing these materials, you should be aware that any or all of the foregoing, among other things, may give rise to real or potential conflicts of interest.

PL and its associates, their directors and employees may (a) from time to time, have a long or short position in, and buy or sell the securities of the subject company or (b) be engaged in any other transaction involving such securities and earn brokerage or other compensation or act as a market maker in the financial instruments of the subject company or act as an advisor or lender/borrower to the subject company or may have any other potential conflict of interests with respect to any recommendation and other related information and opinions.

Registration granted by SEBI and certification from NISM in no way guarantee performance of the intermediary or provide any assurance of returns to investors

US Clients

This research report is a product of Prabhudas Lilladher Pvt. Ltd., which is the employer of the research analyst(s) who has prepared the research report. The research analyst(s) preparing the research report is/are resident outside the United States (U.S.) and are not associated persons of any U.S. regulated broker-dealer and therefore the analyst(s) is/are not subject to supervision by a U.S. broker-dealer, and is/are not required to satisfy the regulatory licensing requirements of FINRA or required to otherwise comply with U.S. rules or regulations regarding, among other things, communications with a subject company, public appearances and trading securities held by a research analyst account.

This report is intended for distribution by Prabhudas Lilladher Pvt. Ltd. only to "Major Institutional Investors" as defined by Rule 15a-6(b)(4) of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Act, 1934 (the Exchange Act) and interpretations thereof by U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) in reliance on Rule 15a-6(a)(2). If the recipient of this report is not a Major Institutional Investor as specified above, then it should not act upon this report and return the same to the sender. Further, this report may not be copied, duplicated and/or transmitted onward to any U.S. person, which is not the Major Institutional Investor.

In reliance on the exemption from registration provided by Rule 15a-6 of the Exchange Act and interpretations thereof by the SEC in order to conduct certain business with Major Institutional Investors, Prabhudas Lilladher Pvt. Ltd. has entered into an agreement with a U.S. registered broker-dealer, Marco Polo Securities Inc. ("Marco Polo").

Transactions in securities discussed in this research report should be effected through Marco Polo or another U.S. registered broker dealer.